The Crystal and Molecular Structure of 2,7-Dibromo-4,5-bis-(2-pyridyl)phenanthrene-3,6-diol

BY DOUGLAS L. SMITH AND EILEEN K. BARRETT

Research Laboratories, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, New York 14650, U.S.A.

(Received 3 December 1969)

The crystal structure of 2,7-dibromo-4,5-bis-(2-pyridyl)phenanthrene-3,6-diol has been determined from single-crystal X-ray intensity data collected with an automated diffractometer. The crystals are monoclinic, space group I2/a, with four molecules in a unit cell of dimensions a = 13.860(1), b = 10.869(1), c = 12.923 (1) Å, $\beta = 94.02$ (1)°. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom technique and refined by full-matrix least squares. Hydrogen atoms were located and refined. The final value of the discrepancy factor is R = 0.028. As a result of intramolecular overcrowding the molecule is severely distorted from a planar configuration. However, the bending is distributed over the entire molecule so that the trigonal symmetry of the bonds about any particular carbon atom is not greatly disturbed. The overcrowding has caused some bonds to be lengthened and there is a nonbonded intramolecular contact of 2.8 Å.

Introduction

In the course of his studies of inverse electron demand in Diels-Alder reactions, Fields has synthesized a number of novel overcrowded polynuclear aromatic compounds (Fields, 1969; Fields, Regan, & Dignan, 1968). Among these compounds are the 2,7-disubstituted-4,5bis-(2-pyridyl)phenanthrene-3,6-diols:



It is evident that these molecules are severely overcrowded and that the steric repulsions of the pyridyl groups must cause the normally planar phenanthrene moiety to be considerably distorted. With molecular models one can manipulate the twists of the pyridyl groups and the distortions of the phenanthrene nucleus to obtain some feeling for the molecular shape. However, we believed a complete crystal structure analysis was necessary to define unambiguously the stereochemistry of these compounds. This report describes the three-dimensional X-ray crystal structure determination of the bromine-substituted compound (R=Br).

Crystal data

C₂₄H₁₄N₂O₂Br₂, M.W. 522·21, m.p. 290°C (decomp.). 2,7-Dibromo-4,5-bis-(2-pyridyl)phenanthrene-3,6-diol. Yellow, long square prismatic (a) crystals. Monoclinic (Cu $K\alpha_1 = 1.5405$ Å)

 $a = 13.860 \pm 0.001$ Å $b = 10.869 \pm 0.001$ Å $c = 12.923 \pm 0.001 \text{ Å} \quad \beta = 94.02 \pm 0.01^{\circ}.$

Volume of unit cell, $1942 \cdot 1 \pm 0.3$ Å³.

1.786 g.cm⁻³ Density, calculated (Z=4)

measured (flotation) 1.82 g.cm⁻³. Linear absorption coefficient, $\mu = 62 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Cu K α). Total number of electrons per unit cell, F(000) = 1032. Absent spectra: hkl for h+k+l odd, h0l for h odd. Space group, I2/a (C_{2h}^6).

General positions: $(0, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \pm (x, y, z; \frac{1}{2} + x, -y, z)$

Experimental

Samples of 2,7-unsubstituted (R=H), 2,7-dimethyl (R=CH₃), and 2,7-dibromo (R=Br) derivatives were supplied to us by Dr D. L. Fields of our Laboratories. Suitable crystals of the unsubstituted compound were not readily obtained and precession photographs of the dimethyl derivative clearly showed the crystals to be disordered. Good crystals of the dibromo compound were obtained by slowly cooling to room temperature a hot, saturated solution of the material in dimethylformamide. Space group extinctions and preliminary lattice dimensions were obtained from precession photographs.

A small square prismatic crystal $0.08 \times 0.08 \times 0.18$ mm, attached to a thin glass rod with Canada balsam, was chosen for intensity-data collection on an automatic Picker four-circle goniostat. Narrow source, open-counter ω scans (Furnas, 1957) through several reflections showed the mosaicity of the crystal to be approximately 0.15°. Twenty-nine reflections at moderately high Bragg angles (Cu $K\alpha_1$ radiation) were accurately centered through very narrow vertical and horizontal slits at a take-off angle of 0.5° . These observations were used as input to the computer program PICK2 (Ibers & Hamilton, 1966) which refined the cell and orientation parameters by the method of least squares and generated the cards to control the automated goniostat.

Intensity data were collected with Ni-filtered Cu radiation at a take-off angle of 2.5°. A scintillation de-

tector was used and the pulse-height analyzer was set for an approximately 90 per cent window. All 1659 unique reflections for $2\theta < 130^\circ$ were measured by the θ -2 θ scan technique (Furnas, 1957) at a 2 θ scan rate of 1.0° .min⁻¹ The scan range varied from 1.1° at low 2θ to $2 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ at high 2θ . Stationary-crystal stationary-counter background counts were taken for 40 sec at each end of the scan. For count rates above 13,000 c.p.s. brass attenuators ($\sim 68\%$ attenuation) were automatically inserted in the diffracted beam by the Picker system. To check electronic and crystal stability during the period of data collection, the intensity of the 631 reflection was measured every 50th reflection. A small $(\sim 2\%)$ systematic decrease was observed in the intensity of this standard reflection over the period of data collection.

The reduction of the intensity data to structure amplitudes was accomplished with the computer program DACOR (Smith, 1966). The background for a reflection was approximated by a straight line between the two measured background points. The intensities, corrected for background, were scaled by the standard intensities to correct for the slight systematic decrease noted in these intensities. Lorentz and polarization corrections were made but absorption was neglected. We estimate the maximum error in $|F_o|$ caused by absorption to be ± 25 %, but most reflections have far smaller errors. Absorption errors are known to affect thermal parameters much more than positional parameters (Srivastava & Lingafelter, 1966) and for our crystal, which is elongated along \mathbf{a} , should cause B_{11} to be systematically larger than B_{22} and B_{33} . The final parameters shown in Table 4 show this to be the case; the average value of B_{11} is 3.83 Å², of B_{22} is 2.75 Å², and of B_{33} is

2.73 Å². Standard deviations $\sigma(I)$ were based on counting statistics and the estimated error of scaling and were corrected to $\sigma(F)$. Of the 1659 measured reflections, 195 were less than $2\sigma(I)$ and were considered unobserved. The intensities of these unobserved reflections were then set equal to $2\sigma(I)$ and corrected to structure amplitudes, F_{lim} .

Determination and refinement of the structure

Scale and overall temperature factors, determined from a least-squares fit of a Wilson (1942) plot, were applied to the structure factors to place them on an absolute scale and to correct them for thermal motion. The computer program *ESORT* (Smith, 1966) was then used to compute (Hauptman & Karle, 1953) the normalized structure factor magnitudes, $|E_{\rm H}|$, and the statistical averages and distribution shown in Table 1. Unobserved reflections were included in the Wilson plot and the statistical averages as $E_{\rm lim}^2/3$ (Hamilton, 1955).

Table 1. Statistical averages and distributions of normalized structure amplitudes

		Theoretical		
	Experimental	Centric	Acentric	
$\langle E \rangle$	0.809	0.798	0.886	
$\langle E^2 - 1 \rangle$	0.941	0.968	0.736	
$\langle E^2 \rangle$	0.998	1.000	1.000	
E > 3.0	0.2%	0.3%	0.01%	
> 2.5	1.2	1.2	0.2	
>2.0	4.2	4.6	1.8	
>1.8	6.7	7·2	3.9	

The data in Table 1 strongly indicate that the probable space group is the centric one, I2/a, rather than



Fig. 1. Atomic labeling and interatomic distances and angles. For clarity, the C(5)-C(5') and C(7)-C(7') bonds are not drawn to scale.

the acentric group *Ia*. Since there are only four molecules per unit cell, the molecules must possess special crystallographic symmetry or be statistically disordered. No evidence of disorder was found on the precession photographs. The molecules cannot contain an inversion center and therefore must lie on the twofold $x = x^{-1} + x^{$

dered. No evidence of disorder was found on the precession photographs. The molecules cannot contain an inversion center and therefore must lie on the twofold axes. The distribution of the vectors in a three-dimensional sharpened Patterson map (coefficients $E^{2}-1$) verified the centric space group and the twofold molecular symmetry. Coordinates for the bromine atom were readily obtained from the Patterson map. Two cycles of isotropic least-squares refinement of the scale factor and the bromine parameters resulted in an agreement index $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c^*|| / \sum |F_o| = 0.50$. A threedimensional electron density map, phased by the bromine atom, clearly revealed the positions of the other fourteen non-hydrogen atoms.

The structure was refined by full-matrix least-squares with the use of all observed reflections plus those unobserved reflections for which $|F_c^*| > F_{\text{lim}}$. The quantity minimized was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c^*|)^2$, where

$$F_c^* = KF_c \left\{ 1 + g \left[\frac{1 + \cos^4 2\theta}{(1 + \cos^2 2\theta) \sin 2\theta} \right] F_c^2 \right\}^{-1/2}$$
$$= KF_c/G,$$

in which K is the scale factor, g is the extinction parameter (Zachariasen, 1963; Larson, 1967), and F_c is the usual calculated structure factor. The weights were defined as

$$w = [\sigma^2(F_o) + (rF_o)^2 + s(F_c - F_c^*)^2]^{-1},$$

where r and s were chosen to make the averages of

 $\sum w(F_o - F_c^*)^2$ constant for groups of increasing F_o values (Cruickshank, 1965).

Three cycles of refinement with isotropic temperature factors, g = s = 0, r = 0.04, and carbon scattering factors for all atoms except bromine and oxygen yielded $R_1 =$ 0.16 and enabled us to identify the nitrogen atom by its low temperature factor. Then, two cycles with anisotropic temperature factors, g=s=0, and r=0.04 reduced R_1 to 0.046. A difference electron-density map clearly showed the seven hydrogen atoms, as well as a considerable negative region around the bromine atom due to the neglect of anomalous scattering effects. Refinement was resumed with isotropic temperature factors for the hydrogen atoms and both the real ($\Delta f' =$ -0.96) and imaginary ($\Delta f'' = 1.46$) parts of the anomalous scattering factors applied to bromine. Two cycles in which the hydrogen parameters were not varied, g=s=0, and r=0.04 resulted in $R_1=0.034$.

Up to this point g had been held equal to zero but it was obvious that the largest structure factors were affected by extinction. The 26 largest structure amplitudes were used in four cycles of refinement in which only g was allowed to vary and r=s=0. These were followed by three cycles in which only the scale factor and the hydrogen parameters were varied, r=0.02, and s=0.01. The refinement was concluded with two cycles in which all parameters except g were varied, r=0.02, and s=0. For the last cycle of refinement thirteen of the 164 parameters shifted more than 0.1σ and the maximum shift was 0.28σ . The final agreement indices for the 1464 observed reflections plus the 35 unobserved reflections with $|F_c^*| > F_{1im}$ are $R_1 = 0.028$ and $R_2 = (\geq w$ $(|F_o| - |F_c^*|)^2 / \sum w F_o^2)^{1/2} = 0.039$. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight is 1.40. Of the 35 re-

 Table 2. Final atomic parameters with their estimated standard deviations (in parentheses)

 Thermal parameters are defined by the expression:

	T_{i}	$a = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{4}(B_{11})\right]$	$h^2a^{*2} + B_{22}k^2b^*$	$x^2 + B_{33}/2c^{*2}$	$2 + 2B_{12}hka^*$	$b^* + 2B_{13}hlc$	$a^*c^* + 2B_{23}klb$	* <i>c</i> *)].	
		v	Z	B_{11}	B ₂₂	B ₃₃	B_{12}	B ₁₃	B ₂₃
Br	0.48900 (3)	0.29935 (3)	0.34986 (3)	4.93 (2)	4.91 (2)	3.58 (2)	0.64 (1)	-1.24(1)	1.13(1)
0	0.38666 (16)	0.51661 (19)	0.25626 (16)	4.65 (10)	2.88(9)	3.17 (9)	0.29 (8)	-1.44(7)	-0.30(7)
N	0.27296 (16)	0.64121 (19)	0.12728 (16)	3.32 (9)	2.21 (9)	2.35 (9)	-0.08(7)	-0·09 (7)	<i>−</i> 0·10 (7)
C(1)	0.37395 (22)	0.19715 (25)	0.18206 (23)	4.07 (13)	2.68(12)	3.21 (12)	0.56 (10)	0.28(10)	0.93 (9)
C(2)	0.39937 (21)	0.30270 (25)	0.23298 (21)	3.64 (12)	3.41 (13)	2.45(11)	0.44 (10)	<i>−</i> 0·08 (9)	0.73 (9)
C(3)	0.35931 (19)	0.41633 (24)	0.20063 (19)	3.18 (11)	2.72 (11)	2.28 (10)	0.05 (9)	0.08 (8)	0.18 (8)
C(4)	0.29136 (18)	0.42083 (22)	0.11552 (18)	2·88 (11)	2.14 (10)	2.09 (9)	-0.06 (8)	0.06 (8)	0.13 (8)
C(5)	0.27576 (19)	0.31389 (22)	0.05172 (19)	3.04 (11)	1.96 (10)	2.48 (10)	0.03 (8)	0.35 (9)	0.18 (8)
C(6)	0.31157 (22)	0.20016 (23)	0.09098 (22)	4.24 (13)	2.18 (11)	2.97 (11)	0.14 (9)	0.36 (10)	0.48 (8)
C(7)	0.28224 (26)	0.08774 (27)	0.04107 (25)	6.08 (18)	1.80 (11)	4.39 (14)	0.28(11)	0.24 (12)	0.56 (10)
C(8)	0.22972 (18)	0.53257 (22)	0.10489 (17)	3.05 (10)	2·16 (9)	1.62 (8)	-0.03(8)	0.07 (8)	<i>−</i> 0·08 (7)
C(9)	0.12986 (18)	0.52464 (25)	0.08254 (19)	2.82 (10)	2.80 (11)	2.25 (10)	-0.16 (9)	0.32 (8)	-0.31 (8)
C(10)	0.07601 (21)	0.63089 (30)	0.07744 (21)	3.03 (12)	4·25 (15)	2.75 (11)	0.58 (10)	0.06 (9)	-0.49(10)
C(11)	0.12149 (22)	0.74357 (29)	0.09556 (21)	4.19 (14)	3.05 (12)	2.86 (11)	1.21 (11)	-0·15 (10)	-0.41(10)
C(12)	0.21898 (22)	0.74325 (25)	0.12257 (20)	4·33 (13)	2.08 (10)	2.53 (11)	0.16 (10)	<i>−</i> 0·10 (9)	<i>−</i> 0·22 (9)
H(1)	0.3651 (24)	0.5736 (32)	0.2212 (27)	1.71 (72)					
H(2)	0.4024 (24)	0.1183 (35)	0.2035 (26)	2.42 (73)					
H(3)	0.3062 (22)	0.0152 (31)	0.0705 (25)	1.83 (67)					
H(4)	0.1042 (21)	0.4409 (29)	0.0760 (23)	1.19 (59)					
H(5)	0.0086 (25)	0.6298 (33)	0.0612 (26)	2.47 (73)					
H(6)	0.0904 (22)	0.8154 (29)	0.0937 (25)	1.31 (64)					
H(7)	0.2544 (23)	0.8208 (27)	0.1342 (25)	1.37 (63)					

flections with $|F_c^*| > F_{\lim}$, none had $|F_c^*| > 1.7 F_{\lim}$. A final difference Fourier synthesis contained values between -0.48 and +0.28 e.Å⁻³ with the greatest detail near the bromine atom. If one excludes that region, the range was from -0.19 to +0.22 e.Å⁻³ and verified the structure.

The final positional and thermal parameters, with standard deviations estimated from the least-squares process, are given in Table 2. The average standard deviations of the positional parameters expressed in Å are 0.0004 for bromine, 0.0021 for oxygen and nitrogen, 0.0027 for carbon, and 0.033 for hydrogen. Two other parameters that were refined are the scale factor,

K = 0.980, and the extinction coefficient, $g = 1.40 \times 10^{-6}$. The final values of the observed and calculated structure amplitudes are compared in Table 3.

The Fourier computer program used in this analysis was obtained from Professor J. Trotter (Trotter, 1965). The full-matrix least-squares refinement utilized a modification of the Busing, Martin & Levy (1962) program ORFLS. The usual atomic scattering factors for all atoms were obtained from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1962) and the anomalous scattering components for bromine from Cromer (1965). All computations were performed on an IBM 360 computer.

Table 3. Observed and calculated structure amplitudes

The column headings are h, l, $10G|F_0|/K$, and $10|F_c|$. An asterisk designates 'less than'.

|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Table 3 (cont.)

3-13	56 74	10 -8 85 60	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11 -6 112 130	n 0 567 580	2 5 178 175	***** K+10 *****	
	440 201	10-10 52* **		11 -8 56 55	6 2 295 287	2 - 5 156 157		0 1 256 256
	124 116	11 -1 116 111	. 7 .19 .18	17 -1 255 255	6 - 2 61 * 3	2 .7 216 205	0 2 201 205	0 3 118 127
- 2.2	513 504	11 1 556 567	6 -7 652 618	12 1 225 226		2 9 258 262	0 5 66 75	0 5 223 228
4 - 4	365 368	11 -3 60+ 30	4 9 291 294	12 -3 116 127	A A 1/7 110	2 -9 60+ 54	606 801 4 0	0 7 139 152
۰ ۵	365 354	11 5 390 391	4 -9 62 51	12 5 243 240	6 -6 162 171	3 0 61+ 12	0 8 76 83	1 0 62 68
÷ •	76 104	11 -5 171 170	4 LL 128 I34	12 -5 282 274	5 8 162 166	3 2 358 351	1 1 277 200	1 2 301 285
	63+ 21	11 7 449 456	4-11 390 373	13 0 91 98	n -8 146 149	3 -2 1020 1021	1 -1 310 329	1 - 2 - 292 - 717
	10 13	11 -7 73 80	5 0 158 174	13 2 57 84	+ 10 200 205	3 4 175 159	1 3 50 24	1 4 301 304
- 10	544 10		2 402 401	13 -2 123 120	6-10 113 130	3 - 4 585 547	1 1 107 100	
	AT 11	12 2 344 35		13		3 6 202 100	1 . 5 . 215 . 276	1 -6 204 205
4-12	240 241	12 -2 60* 60	5 - 4 350 358		7 1 245 249	1 8 115 106	1 7 92 75	2 1 94 122
- 5 i	813 851	12 4 228 234	5 6 293 296	***** K. 8 *****	7 - 3 205 182	1 -8 493 495	1 -7 157 152	2 1 121 134
5 -1	997 990	12 -4 139 159	5 -6 229 243		7 5 223 219	3 10 53+ +	1 9 51 20	2 3 580 20
• •	19 18	12 6 107 175	5 6 383 369	0 0 712 723	7 - 5 60+ 26	3-10 110 100	1 -9 264 243	2 - 3 - 470 - 21
2 - 3	\$75 560	12 -6 83 92	5 -8 272 301	0 2 1/9 1/3	1 1 309 305	- 1 - 60+ - 48	2 6 508 498	2 5 87 104
- 2.2	861 863		5 10 50 47		7 - 7 134 141			2 1 1 2 1 2 1
- 5 6	A14 A41	13 -1 100 103	5-12 141 152					2 . 7 64 102
5 -7	64* 53	13 3 125 109	6 1 60* 12	0 10 357 351	8 0 286 293		2 - 306 535	\$ 0 59+ 45
5 9	501 505	13 -3 240 234	6 -1 473 474	1 1 400 491	1 2 420 426	7	2 6 465 479	1 2 337 339
5 - 9	430 409	13 -5 140 128	0 3 489 488	1 -1 627 635	6 .2 141 145	e 1 54+ e5	2 - 5 222 232	3 - 2 199 190
2 11	482 482	14 0 63 40	0 -3 409 402	1 3 624 43	A = 265 201	4 -7 119 68	2 8 109 153	3 4 243 246
2-11	167 147	14 2 88 55	6 5 306 315	1 - 3 309 312	4 - 4 63 89	. 9 245 246	2 - 0 - 51 - 69	
	34 73	14 -2 - 24 - 20	6 -5 176 170	1 5 243 216	4 0 405 471	4 -9 58 61	3 3 68 77	3 6 33 70
- ñ - ź	99 100	14 - 4 31 - 34	6 7 108 117	1 - 5 616 546	8 -6 257 264	5 0 1/4 /04	3 -1 142 133	5 6 14 140
	442 430		5 9 501 515	1 .7 101 96	A 8 125 105	5.2 17 75	1 - 1 - 1 - 225	10: 63
6 - 4	179 192	***** ** 1 *****	6 -9 75 58	1 9 88 82	9 1 282 266	5 5 798 838	3 5 95 109	4 3 154 167
	148 334		6 11 75 71	1 - 9 481 473	9 -1 229 223	5 -4 310 319	3 - 5 134 113	4 ·) 115 110
ð - 6	624 35	0 1 752 766	6-11 205 194	1 11 244 256	9 3 224 217	5 8 171 184	3 7 65 31	4 5 135 175
	92 77	0 3 492 711	1 0 100 103	1-11 140 141	9 -3 200 91	5 6 212 260	3 - 7 177 164	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4 10	196 160	0 5 (54 161	7 2 282 300	2 0 487 496	0 5 153 144	· a >/c >66	1 0 120 101	5 6 100 100
6-10	A0* 50	0 0 500 516	1 - 112 110	2 .1 .85 105	9 - 3 255 244	5 - 1 01 77		5 2 216 240
6-12	75 76	0 11 57+ 15	7	2 6 517 525		A 1 A1* AB	6 - 2 - 60 3 - 38 ?	5 2 358 353
- 7 î	662 662	1 0 97 91	1 6 224 224	2 -4 -74 004	10 0 101 101	6 -1 76 76	len lev	5 6 306 317
7 - 1	75 1.02	1 2 643 647	7 -0 83 86	2 0 024 034	10 2 76 52	6 3 173 157	4 -4 221 209	5 - 206 212
	138 135	1 -2 480 489	7 8 329 313	2 - 6 322 331	10 -2 58+ 9	6 -3 137 147	4 6 137 235	5 -6 177 154
1 - 3	521 507	1 4 336 330	7 -8 50* 57	7 8 158 147	10 4 171 177	N 5 217 221	a -6 :34 153	N J 56* 20
1.2			2 10 52 11	2 -8 647 615	10 246 258	124 121		0.1 0, 17
- 13	450 452	1		2 10 383 378	10 6 308 248		5 1 115 119	A 1 49 89
·	103 35	1 0 207 276	8 .1 517 523	1 1 304 368	10 - 8 44 43		78 82	6 5 57 32
7 9	89 90	1 -8 333 345	8 3 531 515	1 -1 201 200	11 -1 540 01	7 0 131 159	× 3 339 358	7 0 154 151
7 -9	151 143	1 10 69 45	# -3 312 325	1 3 63 13	11 3 122 115	7 2 511 519	5 - 1 170 175	7 2 186 183
7.11	003 008	1-10 225 214	8 3 360 342	1 -1 577 580	11 -3 207 179	7 - 2 344 345	5 5 58* 19	2 -2 180 1*1
7-11	112 123	1 12 186 198	9 -5 263 252	1 3 438 467	11 5 57 35	1 - 190 105		
	217 260	2 1 100 110	1 320 315		11 -5 57* 46	1 250 211		8 .1
ā - 2	630 51	2 -1 448 456	8 9 440 450	1 7 59+ 14	12 0 137 143	1 (6 186 181	6 3 413 441	
8.4	109 115	2 3 817 838	8 9 57+ 22	3 9 153 154	12 2 564 80	7 8 323 312	0 2 201 193	
8 - 4	215 232	2 - 3 651 650	9 0 63+ 10	3 -9 60* 68	12 - 105 110	7 -8 211 216	a 2 614 50	***** * 12 *****
	135 130	2 5 162 169	9 2 213 220	11 275 282		6 1 85 102	e e 1a3 1a5	
		/ - > 6/• 1/	9 - 2 213 233	3 11 261 273		A 1 159 161	6 . 6	0 2 126 109
	167 178	2 - 7 51 3 50 7	4 4 767 758		····· K. 9 ·····	8 3 714 710	0 0 12 112	3 4 175 178
0 10	323 320	2 9 318 324	9 6 105 107	4 .2 151 164	0 1 168 179	8 5 225 219	6 8 158 147	1 1 65 63
5-10	98 123	2 -9 +10 +1+	9 - 6 - 12 - 22	4 4 405 421	0 1 206 204	9 -5 62 15	1 1 62* 8*	1 1 25 22
9 î	873 870	2 11 110 102	9 8 308 308	4 -4 310 318	0 5 117 123	6 7 55 61	7 -1 56+ 69	1 3 82 100
a - 1	248 244	2-11 126 114	9 -8 76 92	4 6 258 244	0 7 98 69	a - 7 47 80	7 3 574 10	1 -3 109 101
	80 56	1 0 111 94	9-10 18+ 180	• • • • • • •	0 9 179 186	9 0 225 228	1 - 3 67 61	1 5 154 163
	100 /02	1 2 631 621	10 1 584 10	• # 40 76	1 0 1132 1150	9 2 152 147	/ 5 /4 100	2 0 110 110
	326 320	J - 2 373 733	10 -1 246 242		1 2 672 671	4 -2 306 314	1 .7 51+ 50	2 2 69 69
	210 217	3 -4 69 49	10 -3 116 121	- 10 218 226		9 - 6 564 14	. 0 /43 242	2 .2 55+ 22
4 .7	167 154	3 6 237 234	10 5 366 159	5 1 339 327	1 -4 254 240	9 6 68 89	8 2 454 460	2 4 134 142
99	78 72	3 -6 276 209	10 -5 89 68	5 -1 115 150	1 6 703 713	9-0 384 373	8 -2 570 31	2 -4 143 131
9 - 9	203 264	3 8 326 327	10 7 72 78	5 3 80 88	1 -6 150 110	10 1 20 01	A 4 98 85	3 1 219 210
9-11	84 02	3 -8 167 169	10 -7 138 141	5 -3 258 255	1 8 422 427	10 -1 138 124		
10 0	163 159	1 10 79 81	10 -9 141 148	3 5 6 6 6 10	1 -8 182 193	10 3 54 15	A -0 20 219	3 3 534 56
iñ .5	203 198	1 12 267 260	11 2 205 211	5 7 365 369	1 10 55 57		9 1 85 87	4 0 175 173
10 4	63+ 69	3-12 218 219	11 -2 233 237	5 -7 155 104	2 1 624 56	11 0 117 105	9 1 52 17	4 2 101 187
10	60 · 30	4 L 204 209	11 - 198 199	5 9 70 85	2 -1 60+ 22	11 2 94 112	99 83	6 -2 534 67
10 0	60+ 28	4 -1 716 706	11 -4 142 140	5 -9 280 257	2 3 81 69	11 -2 193 208	10 0 261 25#	2 1 240 02
10 -0	14 89	4 3 966 981	11 6 53* 14	5-11 105 104	2 - 3 410 419	11 - 50+ 19	10 -2 50+ 21	5 -1 232 237
10 8	85 83							

Description and discussion of the structure

Fig. 1 shows the atomic labeling and the interatomic distances and angles, uncorrected for thermal motion. Estimated standard deviations for the distances and angles were calculated from the coordinate standard deviations obtained in the least-squares process. The e.s.d.'s are less than 0.005 Å for heavy-atom separations [except 0.007 Å for C(5)–C(5') and C(7)–C(7')] and 0.04 Å for distances involving hydrogen atoms. The e.s.d.'s for the angles (Darlow, 1960) are 0.3° for heavy-heavy, and 4° for heavy-hydrogen-heavy.

Figs. 2 and 3, stereoscopic views (Johnson, 1965) perpendicular and parallel, respectively, to the molecular symmetry axis, show the molecular geometry of

this overcrowded molecule. The distortion is distributed over the entire framework of the molecule such that the trigonal symmetry of the bonds about any particular carbon atom is not greatly disturbed. No major segment of the molecule is truly planar, yet all rings are approximately planar. The equations of least-squares planes for various parts of the molecule and the deviations of the atoms from these planes are given in Table 4. The largest atomic displacements from the mean plane of the phenanthrene moiety (A, Table 4) are 0.34and 0.17 Å. When one considers the individual rings of the phenanthrene part, one finds the central ring (B, Table 4) is planar to 0.07 Å and the outer rings (C, Table 4) are planar to 0.10 Å. The angles between the plane normals are 9° for the central and outer rings and 18° for the two outer rings. Fig. 4(a), a view along



Fig.2. Stereoscopic view of the molecule showing the 50% probability thermal ellipsoids.

the C(5)–C(5') bond, shows the approximately 20° deviation from planarity about this bond.

The most planar section of the molecule is the pyridyl group (D, Table 4) with a maximum atomic displacement from the plane of 0.025 Å. However, the displacements are systematic and the pyridyl group is slightly bent at C(8) and C(11) into two planes (E, F, Table 4) whose normals make an angle of 3.3° . As is evident from Fig. 3 the pyridyl group and the end ring of the phenanthrene are far from coplanar. The normals to these two planes (D, B, Table 4) make an angle of 43° with each other. This is composed of a 40° rotation of the pyridyl group about the C(4)–C(8) bond and a 17° bend of the C(4)–C(8) bond out of the plane of the end ring. The distribution of bonds about the C(4)–C(8) bond is shown in Fig. 4(b).

Skancke (1965) has published a semi-empirical molecular orbital study of phenanthrene within the Pariser-

Table 4. Equations of least-squares planes and distances (Å) of atoms from these planes

X, Y and Z are expressed in Å units relative to the Cartesian axial system defined by the a, b and c* axes. The planes were calculated by the method of Schomaker, Waser, Marsh & Bergman (1959) as modified by Blow (1960).

		Plane	$\begin{array}{rcl} A & 0.8650X \\ B & 0.8194X + \\ C & 0.8437X \\ D & 0.2273X + \\ E & 0.2041X + \\ F & 0.2512X + \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.5018Z\\ -0.1540Y - 0.5521Z\\ -0.5368Z\\ -0.0961Y - 0.9691Z\\ -0.0804Y - 0.9757Z\\ -0.1135Y - 0.9613Z\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} z = 2.9970 \\ z = 3.1788 \\ z = 2.9234 \\ z = -0.0764 \\ z = -0.2251 \\ z = 0.1337 \end{array}$		
	Pla	ne A		Plane	В	Plan	ne C
C(1) C(2) C(3) C(4) C(5) C(6) C(7) *Br *O *C(8) *H(2) *H(3)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.166\\ -0.101\\ 0.145\\ 0.342\\ 0.066\\ -0.078\\ -0.089\\ -0.328\\ 0.220\\ 1.004\\ -0.351\\ -0.163\end{array}$	C(1') C(2') C(3') C(4') C(5') C(6') C(7')	$\begin{array}{c} 0.166\\ 0.101\\ -0.145\\ -0.342\\ -0.066\\ 0.078\\ 0.089\end{array}$	C(1) C(2) C(3) C(4) C(5) C(6) *C(5') *C(7) *C(7) *C(8) *O *Br *H(2)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033 \\ - 0.033 \\ - 0.022 \\ 0.073 \\ - 0.072 \\ 0.020 \\ - 0.300 \\ 0.149 \\ 0.503 \\ - 0.063 \\ - 0.126 \\ 0.010 \end{array}$	C(5) C(6) C(7) C(7') C(6') C(5') *C(1) *C(4) *H(3)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.096 \\ - 0.021 \\ - 0.061 \\ 0.021 \\ - 0.096 \\ - 0.050 \\ 0.404 \\ - 0.116 \end{array}$
	Pla	ne D		Plane	Ε	Pla	ne F
N C(8) C(9) C(10) C(11) C(12) *C(3)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.010 \\ - 0.025 \\ 0.015 \\ 0.008 \\ - 0.023 \\ 0.014 \\ 0.905 \end{array}$	*C(4) *C(5) *H(4) *H(5) *H(6) *H(7)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033 \\ - 0.616 \\ 0.100 \\ 0.015 \\ - 0.023 \\ - 0.032 \end{array}$	C(8) C(9) C(10) C(11) *N *C(12) *C(4)	- 0.001 0.003 - 0.003 0.001 0.067 0.071 0.058	N C(8) C(11) C(12) *C(9) *C(10) *C(4)	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ -0.001 \\ 0.001 \\ 0.076 \\ 0.068 \\ 0.058 \end{array} $

* Not included in the calculation of the plane.



Fig. 3. Stereoscopic view of the molecule along the twofold symmetry axis.

Parr-Pople approximation. Table 5 is a comparison of the phenanthrene bond lengths observed in our structure with the distances calculated by Skancke and with those observed by Trotter (1963) in the structure determination of phenanthrene itself. The agreement of our distances with the predicted values is better than 0.03 Å for all bonds, but the differences are systematic in the



Fig.4. Newman diagrams looking along the C(5)-C(5') and C(4)-C(8) bonds.



Fig. 5. Projection of the molecule normal to one of the pyridyl rings.

direction expected from the overcrowding. The bonds in the portion of the molecule overcrowded by bulky substituents, especially C(5)–C(5'), are lengthened and the bonds in the uncrowded portion of the molecule, especially C(7)–C(7'), are shortened from Skancke's calculated values. The C(5)–C(5') bond (1·471 Å) is close to the 1·488 Å expected for a formal sp^2-sp^2 single bond and the C(7)–C(7') bond (1·339 Å) is equivalent to the value 1·338 Å expected for a double bond (Dewar & Schmeising, 1960). The overcrowding and consequent distortion has apparently considerably altered the conjugation of the central ring of the phenanthrene moiety.

The C-O separation (1.346 Å) compares well with the value 1.34 Å tabulated by Lide (1962), who concluded that delocalization contributes significantly to the shortening of aromatic C-O single bonds compared with aliphatic C-O bonds. The C-Br bond (1.888 Å) compares favourably with the average distance of 1.891 Å found recently in 2,4,6-tribromoaniline (Christensen & Strømme, 1969). This is 0.05 Å shorter than the bond lengths found in aliphatic bromides (Sutton, 1965) and can be accounted for by the difference in radius between tetrahedral and trigonal carbon atoms. Thus the bromine atom does not appreciably enter into conjugation with the aromatic system, in agreement with the findings of Bersohn (1954). Likewise the C(4)-C(8) bond (1.486 Å) is a normal single bond (Dewar & Schmeising, 1960), indicating that the pyridyl group also does not enter into conjugation appreciably with the phenanthrene. In the pyridyl ring average values of the distances C-C and C-N and the angles C-N-C, N-C-C, and C-C-C are 1.383, 1.342 Å, 118.6, 122.4 and 118.8° respectively. These agree very well with the values 1.388, 1.342 Å, 118.9, 122.1, and 118.9° found for 1,2-di-2-pyridylethenediol-1,2 (Ashida, Hirokawa & Okaya, 1965) and 1.383, 1.346 Å, 117.0, 123.5, and 118.7° for 2-(2',4'-dinitrobenzyl)pyridine (Seff & Trueblood, 1968). Systematic variations of as much as 0.025 Å in the pyridyl C–C distances and in the pyridyl angles are also remarkably alike in the three compounds. The pyridyl ring is bonded to the hydroxyl group by a strong intramolecular O-H···N hydrogen bond with dimensions very similar to the O-H···N hydrogen bond found in 1,2-di-2-pyridylethenediol-1,2 (Ashida, Hirokawa & Okaya, 1965).

Table 5. Comparison of phenanthrene bond lengths (Å)

		This work					
Bond		Skancke	Obs.	10 ³ ⊿	Trotte		
C(1) - C(2)	E-F	1.376	1.357	-19	1.381		
C(2) - C(3)	D-E	1.400	1.406	6	1.398		
C(3) - C(4)	$\overline{C}-\overline{D}$	1.380	1.398	18	1.383		
C(4) = C(5)	$\tilde{B}-\tilde{C}$	1.421	1.433	12	1.405		
C(5) - C(6)	B-G	1.404	1.413	9	1.404		
C(6)-C(1)	F-G	1.428	1.411	- 17	1.457		
C(6) - C(7)	G-H	1.431	1.427	- 4	1.390		
C(5) = C(5')	A-B	1.443	1.471	28	1.448		
C(7) = C(7')	H-I	1.372	1.339	-23	1.372		
$A v \sigma$			0.004		0.014		

The six C-H distances average 0.95 Å and O-H is 0.81 Å, both more than 0.1 Å shorter than accepted values but both agreeing with typical X-ray results.

Fig. 5 shows that the pyridyl rings are partially shifted past each other. The closest contact between the rings, $C(8) \cdots C(8')$, is extremely short at 2.807 Å. The two pyridyl rings are not parallel but are inclined 11° to each other so the other contacts such as $C(12) \cdots C(12')$ (3.340 Å) are more usual.

The molecular geometry in our compound is strikingly similar to that found in 3,4-5,6-dibenzophenanthrene, whose approximate structure has been determined (McIntosh, Robertson & Vand, 1954). The two compounds contain a similar combination of fused rings and overlapping atoms and exhibit very nearly the same molecular distortions. These distortions are in accord with the conclusions of Coulson & Senent (1955) and of Ferguson & Robertson (1963), who said that relief from overcrowding is usually obtained by distortions that are well spaced over the rigid framework of the molecule and that it is relatively easy to build up large displacements in these molecules by a series of quite small distortions at each carbon atom.

The molecular packing in the crystal is shown schematically in Fig. 6. There are no unusually short intermolecular contacts.

References

- Ashida, T., Hirokawa, S. & Okaya, Y. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 122.
- BERSOHN, R. (1954). J. Chem. Phys. 22, 2078.
- BLOW, D. M. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 168.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS, A FORTRAN Crystallographic Least-Squares Program. ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- CHRISTENSEN, A. T. & STRØMME, K. O. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 657.
- COULSON, C. A. & SENENT, S. (1955). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1819.
- CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1965). In *Computing Methods in Crystallography*. Ed. J. S. ROLLETT. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- DARLOW, S. F. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 683.
- DEWAR, M. J. S. & SCHMEISING, H. N. (1960). Tetrahedron, 11, 96.
- FERGUSON, G. & ROBERTSON, J. M. (1963). Advances in *Physical Organic Chemistry*, 1, 203.
- FIELDS, D. L. (1969). J. Org. Chem. Submitted for publication.
- FIELDS, D. L., REGAN, T. H. & DIGNAN, J. C. (1968). J. Org. Chem. 33, 390.
- FURNAS, T. C. (1957). Single Crystal Orienter Instruction Manual. Milwaukee,: General Electric Co.
- HAMILTON, W. C. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 185.



- Fig.6. [010] view of four unit-cells schematically showing the packing of the molecules. The lines represent intramolecular Br-Br vectors. Molecules with pyridyl groups oriented up or down are represented by solid or dashed lines respectively. The thick lines represent molecules located with 0 < y < 1, the thin lines those with $-\frac{1}{2} < y < \frac{1}{2}$.
- HAUPTMAN, H. & KARLE, J. (1953). Solution of the Phase Problem. I. The Centrosymmetric Crystal. Monograph No. 3, American Crystallographic Association.
- IBERS, J. A. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1966). Private communication.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, p. 202. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP, A FORTRAN Thermal Ellipsoid Plot Program for Crystal Structure Illustrations. ORNL-3794, Revised, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- LARSON, A. C. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 664.
- LIDE, D. R. (1962). Tetrahedron, 17, 125.
- MCINTOSH, A. O., ROBERTSON, J. M. & VAND, V. (1954). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1661.
- SCHOMAKER, V., WASER, J., MARSH, R. E. & BERGMAN, G. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 600.
- SEFF, K. & TRUEBLOOD, K. N. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 1406.
- SKANCKE, P. N. (1965). Acta Chem. Scand. 19, 401.
- SMITH, D. L. (1966). Unpublished program.
- SRIVASTAVA, R. C. & LINGAFELTER, E. C. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 918.
- SUTTON, L. E. (1965). Ed. Interatomic Distances and Configurations in Molecules and Ions, Supplement. Special Publication No. 18. London: The Chemical Society.
- TROTTER, J. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 605.
- TROTTER, J. (1965). Private communication.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1942). Nature, Lond. 150, 151.
- ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139.